

Introduction I

Pre-treatment Therapy Is an ENHANCED psychological response to the extreme challenges homeless people face attending, engaging with and making use of available help.

■ Homeless people start from a different baseline to that of housed populations. So much so that:........

Introduction II

■ Mainstream Services, including Personality Disorder ones do not accept homeless people.

□ Homeless people, must progress through several preliminary stages before being in a position to be evaluated with mainstream progress measures, developed for Housed populations.

Introduction III

■ Interpersonal and Narrative Markers of increasing engagement with therapeutic relationships are the most meaningful indicators of progress, and often are the

□ Precursors to the changes which more mainstream treatments measure.

Challenges of Homelessness

□ 'Tri-morbidity', a combination of:

Physical,

Mental,

Addictions based ill health

With roots in histories of complex trauma, including child neglect and abuse

(Faculty for Homeless & Inclusion Health Standards, 2013)

The Extra Challenges of Homelessness...

- Limited Time Horizon
- Competing Priorities/Multiple clashing appointments

- Personality Disorder
- □ Re-triggering of 'Trauma Reaction', due to lack of safe shelter

- A History of 'Toxic help'
- Chronic Shame and 'Negative Identity'
- ☐ Insecure Attachment
 Styles- little 'Epistemic
 Trust' (faith in validity and relevance of transmitted knowledge, Fonagy et al, 2014).

Homelessness and Personality Disorder (PD)

The American Psychiatric Association defines Personality Disorders as:

'relatively stable, enduring, and pervasively maladaptive patterns of coping, thinking, feeling, regulating impulses, and relating to others'.

(Bleiberg, Rossouw and Fonagy, 2012)

Complex Problems

People with personality disorders have increased risks of suffering additional mental health problems, such as:

- Anxiety,
- Depression
- Addictions
- □ Brief Psychotic episodes

□ Recurrent deliberate self harm

■Suicide

■ Eating disorders

PD patient Treatment challenges

Hard to comply

- Difficult to engage in a collaborative relationship
- Access own thoughts & feelings, and articulate them
- Problems are pervasive not readily identifiable as targets of treatment

- Not responsive to logic and experimentation
- People seek validation and empathy for their suffering rather than learn how to deal with it

(Young at al, 2003)

Specialist PD Centres offer

- 2 Years Treatment once to twice weekly, according to severity
 - □ Patients have to have **stable accommodation**
 - □ Are addiction free
 - □ homeless people not accepted

'Street homelessness ..might make it difficult for the person to focus on interpersonal change due to severe housing difficulties (PD treatment centre guidelines, Jan 2018).

Homeless people & History of 'Toxic Help'

□ Abuse in care

Care home scandals.

□ Ineffective/limited treatment

PD not addressed – confirms **Negative Identity.**

- ☐ 'Therapeutic Dissonance'

 Therapist confuses patient, but

 Patient blames themselves

 for it.
- □ Social Dissonance need for 're-socialisation', into patient role

(Conolly, In Press, 2018b).

Chronic shame

The shame of an abused child is:

- "an intense and destructive sense of self disgust, verging on self-hatred". (Fonagy et al, 2003), p45).
- □ **Therapy** can inadvertently **reactivate** this:
 - Due to the **Power imbalance** between patient and therapist, where Patient exposes their most intimate thoughts and feelings without reciprocity (Herman, 2012).
 - **Levi** (2018, see book) quotes one Patient as reading the word Therapist as : "The-rapist"

'Negative Identity'

- □ When certain groups do not live up to social norms they become stigmatised and their **identities invalidated**.
- Especially if their 'condition' is visible, prevents them contributing, and is not understood.
- □ This **stigma** becomes internalised as a 'Negative Identity' with feelings of **deep shame**. (Goffman,1968).

21/02/2018

Attachment and Inter-personal Style

'Attachment Theory':

Early experiences of care are internalised and **determine** our **relationship style** throughout their lifespan.

(Bowlby, 1997, 1998a, 1998b, Fonagy, 2001, Holmes, 2006, Wallin, 2007, Daniel, 2015)

Attachment Styles

Four Attachment Styles have been identified:

- 1. Secure
- 2. Avoidant
- 3. Ambivalent
- 4. Disorganized

The Ambivalent and Disorganized Styles are especially associated with Borderline Personality Disorder (Daniel, 2015).

Ambivalent Attachment Style

- Caregivers were unpredictable, sometimes caring, sometimes absent.
- □ Individuals learnt to exacerbate their needs in order to get attention.
- □ This discourages others from helping them, as they are overwhelmed by the sheer Intensity of their needs,
- and they dismiss such patients as 'Over the Top', ' Attention Seeking' or 'Manipulative'.

Disorganized Attachment Style

Children here, had **caregivers** who were **frightening** and who put them in the 'double-bind' situation of **needing their support** but **feeling more distressed** by them.

When adult, they will approach others for help but will fundamentally disbelieve their genuine motivation to help them, thus:

- a pattern of presenting in an acute crisis mode only,
- □ And therefore **no opportunity** for stabilisation and treatment

Avoidant Attachment Style

- □ Caregivers here, stressed independence and were dismissive/punitive to the expression of needs/ vulnerabilities.
- □ As Adult, dismissive of their own needs and rarely approach others for help.

When they do, they feel intense shame and extremely uncomfortable with empathy

Secure Attachment Style

- People here do not tend to be chronically homeless
- Caregivers were attentive and responsive to their needs
- Will readily turn to others for help, and make good use of the resources available to them

Pre-treatment Therapy

Based on **Jay levy's** Pre-treatment Principles and Engagement Stages for outreach work with Homeless people. (Levy, 2013, p 32).

"It is from the safety of a trusting relationship and the development of a common language that it becomes possible to offer the **person** potential resources and services that resonate well in the world of the homeless".

Five Pre-treatment Therapy

1. Pre-Engagement

3. Contracting

2. Engagement

4. Action

5. Maintenance

- 1. Pre-engagement
 - □ Earn trust, Person Centred skills
 - □ Demonstrate care vs 'case management' only.
 - Understand Patient Language, Especially non verbal & affective
 - Understand patient 'life-script' and barriers to engagement

- 2. Engagement
- □ Re-script patient life
- □ Re-frame history of 'Toxic Help',
- Contextualise Self- Blame
- Inspire Hope
- □ treatment & support options for Short, Med and Long term aspirations.

Contracting

□ Patient developed enough 'Epistemic Trust'...

...To accept Alternative Life Script...

■..And to Accesses Treatment(s)

Action

Accesses Treatment

Initiate life changes

□ Establish support networks, medical, social, 3rd sector (AA)

Maintenance

Sustains Changes

Ongoing use of support networks

Mainstream Markers of Change

These are numerous and include change in:

- Symptomology and distress
- Welfare and quality of life measures
- Social activity and socio-economic engagement

However, most apply to mainstream treatments delivered to housed populations.

Homelessness A Special Case

Homeless people

- □ Begin from a very different baseline,(tri-morbidity, all pervasive problems, trauma responses and coping strategies)
- □ Are exposed to continual 'Re-triggering' suffer from Negative ID and Chronic Shame.
- Main challenge Engagement and 'Epistemic Trust' the trust in the authenticity and personal relevance of interpersonally transmitted knowledge

(http://societyforpsychotherapy.org/epistemic-trust-psychopathology-and-the-great-psychotherapy-debate, 26.01.2018)

Markers of Insecure to 'Earned Secure' Inter-personal Style

- □ Each of the four Inter-personal styles has distinct Relational and Narrative features (Daniel, 2015,p115 and p117).
- Progress from Insecure to 'Earned Secure' style of relating can be monitored via them, and is totally Individual specific.
- □ It is suggested that these be used to show progress in Engagement with and Trust in Pretreatment relationships.

Interpersonal Markers I

| | Secure | Avoidant | Ambivalent | Disorganized |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 1. Proximity-Distance | Value & Enjoy Proximity | Prefer Distance | Want Proximity but uncomfortable with it | Fear Proximity but lost without it |
| 2. Trust and Expectations of Others | Trusting and have positive Expectations | Fear Rejection, try to ignore feelings of insecurity | Fear Abandonment or losing Attention- expect the Worst | Strong Distrust of Others, Fear boundary violations |
| 3. Attitude to seeking/getting Help | Open | Prefer managing alone | Strong Desire for Support | Fear Help but Lost without it |

Interpersonal Markers II.

| Marker | Normal | Avoidant | Ambivalent | Disorganized |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 4. Expression | | Limited | Frequent, | Absent or |
| and Regulation | Balanced | Expression, False | Dramatic focus | Chaotic |
| of Emotions | expression of | Positivity, | on emotions, | expression of |
| | Positive & | | | Emotions – |
| | Negative | suppression of | intensify | Problems |
| | emotions | Negative | Negative | Regulating |
| | | Emotions | Emotions | Emotions |
| 5. Self-Image | Nuanced - Solid | 'Magnified' to | Low, dependant | Low, Incoherent |
| and | | compensate for | on interpersonal | Self-Image |
| Esteem | | Low Self-Esteem | validation | |
| 6. Self-Disclosure | Open, but doses | Reticent | Open, but not | Reticent, but |
| | according to | | always context | prone to sudden |
| | context | | specific | 'breakthroughs'. |

Interpersonal Markers III

| | Normal | Avoidant | Ambivalent | Disorganized |
|--------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| 7. Dependence- Independence | Comfortable in committed relationship but also Autonomous | Greatly values Independence from Others | Feels Dependent on Others – seeks relationships out | Strong conflict between desire for Independence and feelings of Dependence |
| 8. Conflict Management | Has constructive strategies | Uncomfortable – avoids them | Great attention to Conflicts - escalates | Conflict may lead to breakdown – inappropriate behaviour |
| 9. Empathy | Cares for Others | Limited – 'Cold' | Preoccupied by Others but misattributes and 'projects'. | Own fear/helplessness hinders this. |

Dramatization

Narrative Markers I

anneal to Pity

Emotions

| | Normal | Avoidant | Ambivalent | Disorganized |
|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| 1. Coherence and | Coherence | Contradiction | Incoherence due | Un-integrated |
| Credibility | between the | between General | to lack of | Trauma-related |
| | different narrative | descriptions and | convincing | material |
| | levels – Narrative | Specific episodes | generalization | destabilizes it. |
| | appears Credible. | | and 'common | |
| | | | thread'. | |
| 2. Balance in | Balanced positive | Present Self and | Often Negative, | Incoherent, |
| Descriptions | and negative | Others in positive | Reproachful, | contradictory, |
| | description of | light – marked by | 'Authoritative' | suddenly |
| | features in | 'cliches'. | descriptions of | changing |
| | Self/Others | | Others. | descriptions of |
| | | | | Self and Others. |
| 3. Dramatization – | Open re | Downplaying of | Dramatizes | Sudden shifts |
| Downplaying | difficulties without | Difficult incidents | difficulties and | between _{1/02/2018} |
| | | | | |

or feelings

anneals to Pity

Narrative Markers II.

| Narrative Markers | Normal | Avoidant | Ambivalent | Disorganized |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| 4. Description of Emotions | Descriptions of incidents inc Well regulated descriptions of Emotions | Few and undifferentiated description of Emotions | Narrative permeated by Emotions 'demonstrated' not described | Absence of integrated descriptions of emotions, anxiety may leak |
| 5. Abstraction/specificity | Balance between Generalisation and Details | Relatively abstract, poor on episodic detail | Rich on specific episodic material, but often in fragments & no common thread | Both abstraction and episodic fragments are present, but are poorly integrated |
| 6. Consideration of listener | Can put Self in Listener's place, and give necessary introductions. | Tendency to 'shut down topics', and cut off Interlocutor | Often get carried away by detail of what discussing, and 'get lost', do not address the topic/auestions | Can be in own world with no sense of listener, may frighten the listener at times. |

Narrative Markers III

| Narrative Markers | Normal | Avoidant | Ambivalent | Disorganized |
|-------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| 7. Verbosity | Appropriate amount of relevant information | Short, telegram- like descriptions | Long accounts including much irrelevant documentation | Shifts between Reticence and Verbosity. |
| 8. 'Narrative Orderliness' | Narrative well organised, time, place, people are marked and introduced. | Narrative is organized but can be hard to follow due to internal contradictions | Jumps in time and place and persons, private phrases unclear 'filler words' | Abrupt shifts in narrative related to trauma material |
| 9. Mentalization | Self & Others are described as thinking & feelingeye for different | Emphasis on the concrete – few & sketch like descriptions of | Many accounts of people's thoughts & feelings with authoritative | 'Magical reasoning' and lack of mentalization |

Conclusion

- □ Homeless people have a variety of challenges above and beyond those of 'trimorbidity', Personality disorders and Complex Trauma.
- □ These include, Toxic Histories, re-occurring triggering of the Trauma Response, Negative ID, Chronic Shame and extremely little 'Epistemic Trust'.
- □ This makes the process of **engaging with 'Treatment**' PIVOTAL.
- □ It has been argued that for Homeless people, it is markers of that **deepening**Engagement which are the most meaningful indicators of progress, and often are the precursors to the changes which more mainstream treatments measure.

Bleiberg, Rossouw and Fonagy, 'Adolescent Breakdown and Emerging Borderline Personality Disorder', Chptr 18, pps 463-509, in 'Handbook of Mentalizing In Mental Health Practice', **2012**, Bateman and Fonagy, (Eds), American Psychiatric Publishing, Inc. Washington DC, London England.

Bowlby, J., 'Attachment', 1997, Pimlico Edn.

Bowlby, J., 'Separation, Anger and Anxiety', 1998a, Pimlico Edn.

Bowlby, J., 'Loss, Sadness and Depression', 1998b, Pimlico Edn.

Conolly, J., 'A Pre-treatment Therapy Approach for Single Homeless people - The Co-construction of Recovery/Discovery', Chapter 7, in 'Social Exclusion, Compound Trauma and Recovery: Applying Psychology, Psychotherapy and PIE to Homelessness and Complex Needs', In Press (2018a), Ed, P. Cockersell, Jessica Kingsley Publishers.

Conolly, J., 'Pre-treatment Therapy: A Central London Counselling Services' Enhanced Response to Complex Needs Homelessness', Chapter 4, in 'Cross-cultural Dialogue on Homelessness'. (2018b), Eds, Jay S. Levy and Robin Johnson, LH Press, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

Daniel, S. I. F., 'Adult Attachment Patterns in a Treatment Context – Relationship and Narrative', 2015, Routledge.

Faculty for Homeless and Inclusion Health, 'Standards for commissioners and service providers', Version 2.0, September, 2013.

Fonagy, P., 'Attachment and Psychoanalysis', 2001, Karnac.

Fonagy, P. and Target, M.T., 'Psychoanalytic Theories – Perspectives from Developmental Psychopathology', 2003, Whurr Publishers.

Fonagy, Luyten, and Allison (2015). Epistemic Petrification and the Restoration of Epistemic Trust: A New Conceptualization of Borderline Personality Disorder and Its Psychosocial Treatment. Journal of Personality Disorders: Vol. 29, No. 5, pp. 575-609.https://doi.org/10.1521/pedi.2015.29.5.575

Goffman, E., 'Stigma: Notes on the Management of Spoiled Identity', 1968, Penguin

Herman, J. L., 'Shattered shame states and their repair', Chapter four in 'Shattered States – Disorgnized Attachment And Its Repair', 2012, Yelin, J., and White, K., Eds, Karnac Books Ltd.

Holmes, J., 'The Search for the Secure Base – Attachment Theory and Psychotherapy', 2006, 5th edn, Routledge.

Holmes, J. and Slade, A., 'Attachment in Therapeutic Practice', 2018, Sage Publications Itd.

Levy, J. S., 'Pretreatment Guide', 2013, L H Press Inc.

Levy, J. S., 'Cross-cultural Dialogue on Homelessness'. In Press (2018), Eds, Jay S. Levy and Robin, Johnson, LH Press, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

Maguire, N.J., Johnson, R., Vostanis, P., Keats, H. and Remington, R.E. 'Homelessness and complex trauma: a review of the literature. (2009) Southampton, UK, University of Southampton (Submitted). See http://eprints.soton.ac.uk/69749/ cited in 'Healthcare for Single Homeless People', p12, Department of Health, March 2010, Office of the Chief Analyst.

PD treatment centre guidelines, (Jan, 2018). https://www.cnwl.nhs.uk/service/guidelines-for-referrals/

Wallin, D. J., 'Attachment in Psychotherapy', 2007, The Guildford Press.

Young, J., E., Klosko, J., S., and Weishaar, M., E, 2003, 'Schema Therapy', The Guildford Press.